

## REMARKS

### Objection to Drawings

In the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review accompanying this Office Action, FIGs. 1-15 (filed on February 14, 2001) were objected to under 37 CFR 1.84(i).

On June 1, 2001, Applicants filed formal (corrected) drawings. *See*, Paper Number 8.

### Double Patenting Rejection

Claims 1-3, 7-11, 16-43 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12, 16-48 of U.S. Patent No. 6,602,790 in view of Fleming et al. (US 6,285,001).


Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer, the terminal disclaimer fee under 37 CFR 1.20(d), and a Statement Under 37 CFR 3.73(b). Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

Applicants submit that the application is in condition for allowance. Concurrence by the Examiner and early passage of the application to issue are respectfully requested.

Any fees that are required in connection with this communication and not specifically provided for herewith are authorized to be charged to deposit account no. 50-0651. Any overpayments are also authorized to be credited to this account.

Respectfully submitted,



October 27, 2003

---

Peter L. Holmes  
Reg. No. 37,353  
Attorney for Applicants

### HENRICKS, SLAVIN & HOLMES LLP

840 Apollo Street, Suite 200  
El Segundo, California 90245-4737  
Telephone: (310) 563-1454  
Facsimile: (310) 563-1460